UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

-----

DEUTSCHE NATIONAL BANK :

TRUST COMPANY,

CASE NO. 1:07-cv-02994

Plaintiff,

:

vs. : OPINION & ORDER

[Resolving Doc. No. 10]

TURNER NASH,

et al.,

:

Defendants.

:

-----

## JAMES S. GWIN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

Before this Court is an application for default judgment, filed by the Plaintiff, Deutsche National Bank Trust Company ("Deutsche Bank"). [Doc. 10.] The Defendants have not opposed the motion. For the following reasons, this Court **DENIES** the Plaintiff's application for default judgment and **DISMISSES** this case without prejudice.

## I. Background

On October 1, 2007, the Plaintiff filed a complaint against the Defendant for foreclosure on the property located at 20903 Libby Road, Maple Heights, Ohio [Doc. 1.] The Plaintiff claimed that this Court possessed subject matter jurisdiction over the case based on federal diversity jurisdiction. *Id.* The Plaintiff alleged that Defendants had defaulted on the note and mortgage that they executed on the property. *Id.* 

## II. Discussion

Case No. 1:07-cy-02994

Gwin, J.

Parties that file cases in federal court on the basis of diversity jurisdiction bear the burden of establishing that such subject matter jurisdiction exists. Covne v. Am. Tobacco Co., 183 F. 3d 488, 493 (6th Cir. 1999). As a jurisdictional prerequisite, the plaintiff must establish that he or she has standing to bring the lawsuit in federal court. Id. at 494; Lewis v. Casey, 518 U.S. 343, 349 n.1 (1996). The issue of standing must remain open to judicial review at every stage of the litigation. Nat'l Org. for Women, Inc. v. Scheidler, 510 U.S. 249, 255 (1994). In order to demonstrate standing, a plaintiff must prove that he has suffered an injury in fact, the injury must be "fairly traceable" to the defendant, and the requested relief must be capable of redressing the injury. Coyne, 183 F.3d at 494. Article III of the U.S. Constitution requires that the plaintiff demonstrate that he has personally suffered an actual injury due to the defendant's conduct. *Id*.

In this case, the Plaintiff, Deutsche Bank, claims that it is the holder and owner of the Note and Mortgage executed on the Defendants' property. However, upon close examination of the record, the Note and Mortgage provided by the Plaintiff show that the mortgagee at the time of the filing of the complaint was the original lender, Long Beach Mortgage Co. [Docs. 1, Ex. 4.]

The Court's Fifth Amended General Order No. 2006-16, governing foreclosure cases based on diversity jurisdiction, requires the Plaintiff to file an affidavit with the complaint that identifies the Plaintiff either as the original mortgage holder, an assignee, trustee, or successor-in-interest. [Doc. 1, Ex. 4]. In its affidavit, the Plaintiff asserted that it was the owner and holder of the relevant promissory note and mortgage, but did not indicate any assignment of interest. [Doc. 1, Ex. 4]. Plaintiff subsequently filed a notice of assignment. [Doc. 9] This notice of assignment shows that the assignment did not occur until October 2, 2007. [Doc. 9]

The record, therefore, does not show that the Plaintiff was the owner and holder of the

Case: 1:07-cv-02994-JG Doc #: 11 Filed: 12/03/07 3 of 3. PageID #: 142

Case No. 1:07-cv-02994

Gwin, J.

interest, title, and rights under the Mortgage and Note at the time of the filing of the foreclosure

complaint on October 1, 2007. Plaintiff Deutsche Bank does not appear to have had any ownership

interest at the time that this lawsuit was commenced. The Plaintiff, therefore, has not carried its

burden of proving standing because it has not shown that it personally suffered an actual injury prior

to the filing of the complaint. Coyne, 183 F. 3d at 494. Plaintiff Deutsche Bank has thus not met the

prerequisite for establishing that subject matter jurisdiction exists in this case.

III. Conclusion

The Court, therefore, **DISMISSES** this case without prejudice. Accordingly, all orders of

the Court and any entries by the Clerk are vacated. If the Plaintiff has information to establish that

it was the owner and holder of the Note and Mortgage as of the date that the complaint was filed,

the Plaintiff may provide that evidence to the Court and seek reconsideration of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 3, 2007

James S. Gwin

JAMES S. GWIN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

-3-